
The art and science of paper writing in clinical
trials

(Friday) 12 November 2004

Lews Hotel
Philadelphia Pennsylvania

Curtis Meinert
The Johns Hopkins University
Center for Clinical Trials
Bloomberg School of Public Health
Department of Epidemiology

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

The publication imperative	1
What to publish?	3
When to publish?	4
Where to publish?	5
Presentation vs publication?	6
Forms of presentation	7
Case studies	8
Reasons to publish first	9
Exceptions to the rule	10
The "rules" of randomized trials	11
Red herrings	12
Subgroup analysis	13
Abuses	14
10 easy steps to "success" in trials	15
The "usual" reading order	17
Title	18
Title examples	20
Vancouver Convention requirements for authorship	21
Authorship formats	22
How many authors?	23
"Authors" for 2003 multicenter trials in JAMA and NEJM	24
Credits for multicenter trials	25
The analysis database	26
Quality control	27
Manuscript checklists	28
The Hansel and Gretel approach to paper writing	29
Mothballing	30
Dealing with editors	31

Generation time and date: (Tue 1:32pm) 19 Oct 04; Location: \PaperWrt

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

The publication imperative

Whereas:

Being able to research on human beings is a privilege, not a right, granted by society for the good its members;

The purpose of such research is to generate information for the benefit of humankind;

There is no lasting benefit to humankind absent repose of results of such research in the medical libraries of the world

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

The publication imperative

Therefore

The sponsor and trialist, once a trial is undertaken,
have moral obligations to publish results of trials
regardless of the direction or nature outcome and
to do so in a forthright and expeditious manner

and

Failure to do so represents a de-facto violation of the
public trust

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

What to publish?

The results for the primary outcome measure by treatment assigned

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

When to publish?

When the trial is finished, or during the trial when a treatment is stopped for lack of benefit or when the trial is stopped because of benefit

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Where to publish?

Peer-review, NLM indexed, medical journals

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Presentation vs publication?

Rule # 1: When it comes to results of trials, publish first, present later!

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Forms of presentation

- Presentation at scientific meetings (oral or poster)
 - Press releases
 - Website announcements
 - Mailings
-

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Case studies

The WHI Hormone Replacement Trial

Participants notified by letter starting 8 July 2002
Press conference and release from NIH 9 July 2002
Results published in full length article in JAMA 17
July

Vioxx

Merck announces voluntary withdrawal of Vioxx 30
September following decision to stop the drug in a
randomized trial (results not yet published)
Results of an observational study suggesting risk of
MI for Vioxx presented Aug 2004

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Reasons to publish first

- 1 Most efficient and expeditious means of dissemination of results
 - 2 Presentation increases probability of not publishing
 - 3 Presentation likely to increase time to publication
 - 4 Authors defenseless when questions arise
 - 5 Negative imprinting
-

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Exceptions to the rule

- Proprietary products (to reduce risk of "insider trading")
 - When results are regarded as having immediate health implications
-

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

The "rules" of randomized trials

Blackout (investigators do not see interim results by treatment group during the trial)

Independent treatment effects monitoring committee

Once randomized counted to the treatment group to which assigned

Primary analysis based on outcome measure specified when the trial was designed

Primary analysis based on all person enrolled and by treatment assignment regardless of adherence

All events counted to the treatment group of assignment regardless of time of occurrence

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Red herrings

- Generalizability
 - Select study population
 - Representativeness
-

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Subgroup analysis

- Meaningless in the absence of overall results by treatment group
 - 1,000s done, few reported
 - Most differences that are reported do not reproduce (ie, buyer beware!)
 - The only legitimate subgroup variables are those observed prior to randomization
-

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Abuses

- Not playing with a "full deck"
 - Analysis by treatment administered
 - Considering only "evaluable patients"
 - Elevating a subordinate variable to primary status
-

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

10 easy steps to "success" in trials

- 1 Choose a "high tech" treatment for evaluation
- 2 Choose a surrogate outcome that "everyone" knows to be predictive
- 3 Do no quality control (to avoid finding things you do not want to know)
- 4 Toss out results for "nonadherent" patients
- 5 Limit analyses to "evaluatable" patients and to "treatment-related" events

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

How to succeed in trials

- 6 Analyze by treatment administered
 - 7 Dredge to find a "significant" result; report ignoring the dredging
 - 8 Seek media attention on presentation (except 60 Minutes)
 - 9 Go on speaking tour touting results
 - 10 Await call from Stockholm!
-

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

The "usual" reading order

Title and masthead author listing

Conclusion

Abstract

References (especially to see if you are referenced)

Tables and figures

Methods section

Results section (when all else fails)

Discussion

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Title

Considerations

- Numbered titles?
- Design terms in title (eg, such as, *randomized*)?
- Subtitles?
- Study name as part of title?

Create titles that:

- Are succinct but informative
- Indicate purpose
- Telegraph content and type of study

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

The manuscript title

Avoid titles that:

- Contain too much detail
- Contain redundant terms, such as, *prospective*, in the phrase *prospective clinical trial*
- Are "cute" but uninformative
- Contain jargon, undefined abbreviations, or acronyms
- Contain uninformative words or terms, such as, *study, project, program, collaborative, cooperative*, as substitutes for more precise informative terms, such as, *clinical trial, multicenter*
- Contain terms of presumption or arrogance, such as, *definitive, unique, innovative*

Remember

- Importance of title as identifier and descriptor
 - Use of title by indexers
-

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Title examples

JAMA: A calcium antagonist vs a non-calcium antagonist hypertension treatment strategy for patients with coronary artery disease: The International Verapamil-Trandolapril Study (INVEST): A randomized clinical trial (27 words)

JAMA: Outcome of elderly patients with chronic symptomatic coronary artery disease with an invasive vs optimized medical treatment strategy: One-year results of the randomized TIME trial (26 words)

JAMA: Treatment of antidepressant-associated sexual dysfunction with Sildenafil (8 words)

JAMA: Effect of magnesium sulfate given for neuroprotection before premature birth (10 words)

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Vancouver Convention requirements for authorship

Persons must be able to show substantial contributions to (a) *conception and design, or analysis and interpretation of data; and to (b) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and on (c) final approval of the version to be published. Conditions (a), (b), and (c) must all be met.*⁷ Acquisition of funding, the collection of data, or general supervision of the research group do not, by themselves, justify authorship credit.

International Committee of medical Journal

Editors: Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals. New Engl J Med 336:309-315, 1997.

Under the **Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals** (also known as the **Vancouver Convention**)

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Authorship formats

Conventional

Ann L Jones, Fred A Brown, and Ian F Smith

Modified conventional

*Ann L Jones, Fred A Brown, and Ian F Smith for the XYZ Trial
Research Group*

Corporate

The XYZ Trial Research Group
(Writing committee not identified)

Modified corporate authorship

The XYZ Trial Research Group
(Writing committee identified in footnote to title page or credits
section, eg, *Ann L Jones, Fred A Brown, and Ian F Smith for the
XYZ Trial Research Group*)

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

How many authors?

Not as many as typically listed!

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

"Authors" for 2003 multicenter trials in JAMA and NEJM

Authors	JAMA	NEJM	Total
4	2	2	4
5 - 8	6	5	11
9 - 12	12	18	30
13 - 16	11	6	17
17 - 24	3	7	10
25	1	2	3
Total	35	40	75
Mdn	11	12	
Conventional	12	8	20
Mod conventional	23	31	54
Corporate	0	0	0
Mod corporate	0	1	1

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Credits for multicenter trials

- Provides information important to understanding trial (ie, should be regarded as essential part of manuscript)
 - Should list centers (clinics, coordinating center and other key resource centers) and key personnel
 - Should list key committee and composition; in regard to treatment effects monitoring committee listing should be of full committee with asterisk to indicate voting members
-

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

The analysis database

- Establish a cut off date and stick to it!
 - Check the accuracy of the data harvest (especially treatment assignment!)
 - Write "rules" regarding outlier values and how missing data are dealt with
 - Provide a "map" of the dataset and of mapping of form changes over the course of data collection
 - Backup!
-

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Quality control

- Independent replication of key counts
 - Independent programming of key analyses
 - Cross checking marginal in tables
 - Verification of accuracy of reference citations
 - Check of credit listings
-

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Manuscript checklists

Check content against CONSORT or other similar checklists published in textbooks on clinical trials when developing manuscript and again just before submission

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

The Hansel and Gretel approach to paper writing

Accomplished by spreading sufficient crumbs along the way so you can find back (ie, figure out what you did), sometimes years after publication

Requirements

- Documentation and archiving of key analysis programs

- Archiving of interim and finished drafts

- Archiving and documentation of the analysis dataset

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Mothballing

- Hard copy study forms for a minimum of 5 years following close of trial
 - Data monitoring reports and related minutes stored for minimum of 10 years
 - Electronic datasets stored for a minimum of 10 years; duplicate copies stored in separate locations
 - Study correspondence file including correspondences related to manuscripts stored for a minimum of 10 years following close of trial
-

Clinical trials: Past, present, and future

Dealing with editors

- Do not be talked into something you think is ill-advised
 - Do not make changes on the fly absent thought or documentation
 - Provide a detailed response as to how suggestions are handled including those not followed and why not
 - Pay more attention to the editor than the reviewers!
 - Remember: Editors are people too
-