The myths of clinical trials

Tuesday 24 June 2003 Summer Institute Seminar Series

Curtis L Meinert, PhD

The Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health Department of Epidemiology Center for Clinical Trials Baltimore Maryland

Myth 1
Myth 1: That people in trials are guinea pigs 1
Myth 2: Only those receiving the test treatment will benefit 1
Myth 3: That placebos have no effects 1
Myth 4: People enroll in trials because they expect to benefit 1
Myth 5: That trials have focused on men 1
Published trials by gender 2
Published multicenter trials by gender 2
Myth 6: That selection bias matters 3
Myth 7: That "representativeness" is possible 3
Myth 8: That validity depends on "representativeness" 3
Myth 9: That generalization depends on "representativeness" 3
Myth 10: That randomization ensures comparability 3
Myth 11: That data can be spoiled by looking at them 3
Myth 12: That p-values indicate significance 3
Myth 13: That subgroup analysis is a sin 4
Myth 14: That masked trials are better than unmasked trials 4
Myth 15: That the monitoring body should be isolated 4
Myth 16: That monitoring bodies should be masked 4
Myth 17: That monitoring must be by stopping rules 4
Myth 18: That truth can be revealed by a single trial 4
Myth 19: That investigators are not to be trusted 4
Myth 20: That anybody can do a trial 5
Myth 21: That trials change the practice of medicine
Myth 22: That a trialist is an an epidemiologists who randomizes 5
Epidemiology
The epidemiologist vs the trialist
Toward a broader definition of epidemiology

Generation time and date: (Thursday 9:53am) 26 June 2003; Location: \Myths

Myth

A popular belief that is false or baseless

\Myths\Myth

Myth

That being in a trial is akin to being a guinea pigs

Myth

Only those receiving the test treatment will benefit

\Myths\TestTrt

\Myths\GuineaP

Myth

That placebos have no effects

\Myths\Placebo

Myth

That people enroll in trials because they expect to benefit

\Myths\ParTake

Myth

That trials have concentrated on men and their diseases to the exclusion of women and their disease

\Myths\Women



Published trials by gender

Published multicenter trials by gender



\Myths\MCT.Plt

Myth	
That selection bias matters	
	\Myths\SelBias
Myth	
That "representativeness" is possible	
	\Myths\RepPoss
Myth	
That validity depends on "representativeness"	
	\Myths\Valid
/th	
t generalization depends on "representativeness"	.1
	\Myths\G
Myth	
That randomization ensures comparability	
	\Myths\Random
Myth	
That data can be spoiled by looking at them	
	\Myths\Spoil
Myth	
That p-values indicate significance	
	\Myths\Pvalue

	Myth
	That subgroup analysis is a sin
	\Myths\Subgroup
Му	th
Tha	t masked trials are better than unmasked trials
	\Myths\Masking
	Myth
	That the monitoring body should be isolated from study investigators
	\Myths\Independ
	Myth
	That monitoring bodies should be masked
	\Myths\MaskTEM
My	th
Tha	t monitoring must be according to pre-ordained stopping rules
	\Myths\StopRule
	Myth
	That truth can be revealed by a single trial
	\Myths\Truth
	Myth
	That investigators are not to be trusted

\Myths\Trust

Μ

Myth

That anybody can do a trial

\Myths\Anybody

Myth

That trials change the practice of medicine

\Myths\Impact

Myth

That a trialist is merely an epidemiologists who randomizes

\Myths\EpiCT

Epidemiology

A branch of medical science that deals with the incidence, distribution, and control of a disease in a population (Merriam Webster's *10th Collegiate Dictionary* 2001)

The study of the distribution and determinants of health-related states or events in specified populations, and the application of this study to control of health problems (Last's *Dictionary of Epidemiology*, 4th ed, 2001)

\EpiVsCT\EpiDefn

The epidemiologist vs the trialist

- The epidemiologist is concerned with causality; the trialist with determining whether a treatment works
- The epidemiologist is an observationist; the trialist is an interventionist
- The epidemiologist is an "absolutist"; the trialist is a relativist

\EpiVsCT\Trialist

Toward a broader definition of epidemiology

An observational and evaluative (experimental and intervention) medical science concerned with the cure, prevention, amelioration, or elimination of disease and related adverse health conditions by study of the patterns and distribution of disease and related adverse health conditions to determine source and cause and by study to assess the relative merit of different control or treatment procedures.

Or Last rewritten

The study of the distribution and determinants of health-related states or events in specified populations *through observation, intervention, or experimentation*, and the application of this study to control of health problems

\EpiVsCT\NewDefn