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## Memorandum

To: Trialists
Fr: Curtis Meinert
Re: Impact of the 1993 NIH Revitalization Act on trials
There is no metric as to how research dollars are to be apportioned between the sexes. In trials the issues of who and what is studied has been driven largely by those doing the trials. That started to change in the 1980s from growing concern that women and their diseases and conditions were being shortchanged relative to those of men.

The prevailing belief was that trials were done predominately in white men. The belief was highlighted by a few high profile male-only heart trials, principally the

Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial (MRFIT),
Physicians Health Study (PHS),
and
Coronary Drug Project (CDP).
The concern was enough to cause Congress, in the NIH Revitalization Act of 1993 (enacted by the $103^{\text {rd }}$ Congress and signed into law by William Clinton 10 June 1993), to specify that:

In the case of any clinical trial in which women or members of minority groups will be included as subjects, the Director of NIH shall ensure that the trial is designed and carried out in a manner sufficient to provide for valid analysis of whether the variables being studied in the trial affect women or members of minority groups, as the case may be, differently than other subjects in the trial.

The "valid analysis requirement", taken literally, meant that trials involving men and women would have to be designed to provide the same power for treatment comparisons in women as in men. That requirement would lead to sizeable increases in sample sizes, but the interpretation instead was an "unbiased assessment" of the treatment differences (Controlled Clinical Trials 16: 277-285; 1995).

One change brought about by attention to who is studied is on publications, as seen in the table below. The percentage of publications indexable to gender increased from $71 \%$ for 1970 publications to $93 \%$ for 2015 publications.

The table also provides counts of randomized controlled trials [RCT] publications indexed as involving both males and females, males-only, and females-only. The ratio of female-only to male-only RCTs is given in column G. The ratio has increased from 0.81 for 1970 publications to 1.70 for 2015 publications, signaling a sizable shift in published single gender trials from male to female over the time period covered in the table.

Publications indexed as [randomized controlled trial] (Col A), \% of publications where authors reported gender composition ( ColC ), and ratio of F-only to Monly publications ( $\mathrm{Col} \mathbf{G}$ )

| Yr <br> pub | A <br> $[\mathrm{RCT}]$ | B <br> M/F Indexed | C <br> $(\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{A}) \%$ | D <br> M\&F | E <br> M-only | F <br> F-only | G <br> $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 302 | 213 | $70.53 \%$ | 128 | 47 | 38 | 0.81 |
| 1975 | 797 | 564 | $70.77 \%$ | 365 | 107 | 92 | 0.86 |
| 1980 | 1,735 | 1,244 | $71.70 \%$ | 812 | 223 | 209 | 0.94 |
| 1985 | 3,102 | 2,519 | $81.21 \%$ | 1,744 | 394 | 381 | 0.97 |
| 1990 | 5,784 | 4,457 | $77.06 \%$ | 3,078 | 680 | 699 | 1.03 |
| 1995 | 9,204 | 7,785 | $84.58 \%$ | 5,578 | 1,151 | 1,056 | 0.92 |
| 2000 | 9,999 | 8,626 | $86.27 \%$ | 6,466 | 898 | 1,262 | 1.41 |
| 2005 | 14,724 | 12,977 | $88.14 \%$ | 9,905 | 1,119 | 1,953 | 1.75 |
| 2010 | 20,519 | 18,435 | $89.84 \%$ | 14,347 | 1,582 | 2,506 | 1.58 |
| 2015 | 27,441 | 25,392 | $92.53 \%$ | 20,433 | 1,836 | 3,123 | 1.70 |

The impact of the legislation is also apparent in the table below using data in ClinicalTrials.gov. The ratio of female-only to male-only trials is approaching 3 to 1 for NIH-funded trials, compared to a modest excess of male-only industry-funded trials.

Trials registered on ClinicalTrials.gov and number completed by year of registration and by gender composition
A: NIH-funded trials

| Yr <br> registered | A <br> No. <br> completed | B <br> M and F | C <br> M-only | D <br> F-only | E-only/ <br> M-only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2000-04$ | 3,066 | 2,581 | 146 | 339 | 2.32 |
| $2005-09$ | 3,445 | 2,930 | 144 | 371 | 2.58 |
| $2010-14$ | 1,431 | 1,252 | 47 | 132 | 2.81 |
| $2015-17$ | 112 | 95 | 7 | 10 | 1.43 |
| Total $^{\#}$ | 8,054 | 6,858 | 344 | 852 | 2.48 |

B: Industry-funded trials

| Yr <br> registered | A <br> No. <br> completed | B <br> M and F | C <br> M-only | D <br> F-only | E <br> F-only/ <br> M-only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2000-04$ | 7,761 | 6,639 | 509 | 613 | 1.20 |
| $2005-09$ | 21,642 | 18,809 | 1,423 | 1,410 | 0.99 |
| $2010-14$ | 15,431 | 13,185 | 1,529 | 717 | 0.47 |
| $2015-17$ | 3,603 | 2,963 | 509 | 131 | 0.26 |
| Total $^{\#}$ | 48,437 | 41,596 | 3,970 | 2,871 | 0.72 |

\# Excluding 12 NIH -funded trials and 49 industry-funded trials not providing gender composition

