2 Design tables, worksheets and checklists

Table 2.1 Protocol content and suggested features (ProtDoc.Tab)

The study protocol is the foundation of the trial. It is the first document produced and is likely revised several times over the course of the trial. It drives all other documents and publications in the trial. It serves as the basis for IRB submissions and as the road map for the trial.

Outside cover

Title (e.g., Protocol for the XYZ Trial) Version number Version date

Inside cover

Title

Version number

Version date

Print date

Document custodian

Table of contents (with page nos.)

History page (cumulative summary of changes from all previous versions)

Body of document

Print font: 11 or 12 point Page orientation: Portrait

Margins (1" left, right, top, and bottom)

Page numbering: Continuous; upper right hand corner

References

Appendices

Glossary Consent form Design summary etc.

Sample table of contents for ADAPT¹ (version 1.4; 19 Nov 2002; http://jhuccs1.us/adapt/documents.htm)

Document distribution	 	• • • • • •	 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 1
Document history	 		 	 ii
Source documents	 		 	 xiv

Table 2.1. Protocol content and suggested features

Exe	ecutive summary
1.	Background and significance
2.	Objectives
3.	Design 8 3.1. Design features 8 3.2. Sample size and power 8 3.3. Eligibility criteria 8 3.4. Randomization 10 3.5. Masking 10
4.	Treatment plan124.1. Treatment groups124.2. Compliance monitoring124.3. Management and reporting of side effects and adverse events124.4. Criteria for study treatment termination or interruption13
5.	Recruitment, screening, eligibility evaluation, and enrollment145.1. Overview of recruitment145.2. Overview of screening, eligibility evaluation and enrollment145.3. Screening145.4. Eligibility evaluation visit145.5. Enrollment visit15
6.	Followup
7.	Neuropsychological testing and dementia assessment207.1. Description of neuropsychological tests207.2. Diagnosis of dementia247.3. Followup of participants diagnosed with dementia257.4. Incidence of Alzheimer's disease257.5. Diagnostic Review Committee26

Table 2.1. Protocol content and suggested features

8.	. Analysis plan	27
	8.1. General principles	27
	8.2. Analysis of design variable	28
	8.3. Analysis of other outcomes	28
9.	. Treatment effects monitoring	30
10.	0. Quality assurance and performance monitoring	31
	10.1. Overview	31
	10.2. Certification of field sites	31
	10.3. Training of staff	31
	10.4. Certification of staff	
	10.5. Performance monitoring	32
	10.6. Site visiting	
	10.7. Error detection	33
11.	1. Investigational new drug application	34
12.	2. Protection of human subjects	35
	12.1. Monitoring of IRB approval process	35
	12.2. Consent process	35
	12.3. Risks and potential benefits to participants	36
	12.4. Safety monitoring	36
	12.5. Confidentiality of participant data	37
13.	3. Biohazards	38
Ref	eferences	39
Ap	ppendices	47
-r	Appendix A: ADAPT field sites	
	Appendix B: Design summary	
	Appendix C: ADAPT consent statements	
	Appendix D: Glossary of abbreviations and definitions	

Table 2.2 Suggestions for development of study handbooks and manuals of operations (HandBk.Tab)

A. General

- Identify major topics or functions for which handbooks/manuals are required (e.g., clinic operations, data intake and processing, laboratory procedures, etc.)
- Develop draft table of contents for each required handbook/manual and submit for review and comment by the leadership group of the trial before development
- Develop methods and procedures for data collection with input from key study personnel, including clinicians, statisticians, clinic coordinators, laboratory technicians, and the like
- Strive to ensure that written material contained in handbooks/manuals is concise and devoid of complex sentences and esoteric language
- Test the adequacy of each handbook/manual by having it reviewed by individuals who will use it
- Release for use only after it has been reviewed and approved by study leadership

B. Organization

- Each handbook/manual should have a unique official name
- The name of the handbook/manual, date of release, version or edition number, and name of the individual or group responsible for its distribution should be indicated on the title page of the document
- Include a detailed table of contents, along with a listing of tables and figures in the document
- Include a subject index and glossary
- Chapters in manuals should be divided into numbered subsections; the accompanying numbers and titles should appear in the table of contents of the document
- Left-hand page margins should be wide enough to keep text from being obscured or lost when pages are photocopied or bound (e.g., at least 1" for standard 8½" x 11" pages assembled in loose-leaf notebooks or pressure binders)
- Right-hand page margins should be wide enough to allow room for user notes (e.g., at least 0.75" for standard 8½ x 11" pages). The same is true of top and bottom margins
- Boldface or other fonts should be used to identify key phrases, definitions, and important procedural statements
- Pages should be numbered sequentially from beginning to the end of a document; avoid numbering by chapter or section
- Page numbers should appear in the same location throughout the document regardless of page orientation (preferably upper right-hand corner)

C. Suggested maintenance aids

- Responsibility for review and revision of handbook/manual should be assigned to a specific individual or group
- A specific individual should be given responsibility for keeping track of revisions made to a handbook/manual and for making certain users of the handbook/manual are supplied with updates as they are produced
- Each new version of a handbook/manual should be identified with a version date and should indicate the date and version number of the document it replaces
- Large documents that are subject to frequent updates should be kept in loose-leaf binders to facilitate page replacements and to simplify photo-reproduction of pages in the document

Table 2.2 Study handbooks and manuals of operations

• Individual pages that are updated and inserted in an existing version of a document as replacements should include the revision date in the top or bottom right-hand corner of the pages

29 March 2012 Version 1.0 \CTForms\HandBk.Tab

Table 2.3 Sample size specification table (SampSize.Tab)

When: After the design is set
Who: Study statistician
Purpose: To set down details of the sample size design

Definitions

A. Identifying information

class of trial - Class in regard to the nature of treatment effect to be detected as specified in sample size or power calculations for the trial: superiority trial, equivalence trial, noninferiority trial, and inferiority trial.

primary treatment group comparison - 1. The treatment group comparison of primary importance to the trial as specified in the study protocol and as used in sample size calculations. 2. One of several such comparisons in a trial involving multiple treatment groups, e.g., r-1 comparisons in a trial involving t treatment groups (t-1 test treatment groups and one control treatment) in which each of the t-1 treatments are compared against the control treatment.

treatment group - The group of persons assigned to receive a specified treatment in a trial

	1.	Study name:
	2.	Form completed by:
	3.	Date completed (day-month-year)
B.	Ba	sic design features
	4.	Sample size design
		() Fixed
		() Sequential
		() Open
		() Closed
	5.	Treatment structure
		() Parallel

6.	Num ((per of treatment groups (including the control or comparison treatment group)) Two) ≥ three	
		No. of primary treatment group comparisons No.	
		Specify primary treatment group comparisons	
7.	(rry outcome measure) Change) Event () Death () Cause specific death () Disease () Re-occurrence of disease () Worsening of disease () Other (specify)	
	(Other (specify)	
8.	Planı	ned length of treatment and followup	
	Anni	versary closeout Length	mos
	Com	mon closing date Length: Min mos Max	mos
9.	(ned length of followup same as planned length of treatment?) Yes) No	
		Length of treatment	mos
		Length of followup after treatment Min mos Max	mos
	mple Class ((of trial) Superiority trial) Equivalence trial) Noninferiority trial	

30 Mar	ch 20	12	Version 1.0	\CTForms\SampSize.Tab
			Specifications here	
15.			or sample size calculation; list details below, including the type I and II I on, the size of the difference to be detected, and the formula used for the	
			Power table here	
14.			with proposed sample size (provide a table of power values for different ces)	treatment
	(()	Money Availability of suitable people for study Other (specify)	
13.	Wh	at is	the driving pragmatic constraint:	
	(,	Sample size calculation	
12.	San (nple)	size cited in item 11 is the result of (check one): Pragmatics (answer items 13 and 14)	
			Sample size per treatment group	
	()	Fixed sample size design Total	
			Maximum sample size	
	()	Closed sequential sample size design Minimum sample size	
11.	(Open sequential sample size design	
11	San	nnle	size design	

Table 2.4 Outcome specification table (Outcome.Tab)

When: During the design phase of the trial

Who: Study leaders

Purpose: To designate the primary outcome measure for use in the trial

Definitions

design variable - The variable used for determining sample size in planning a trial. Usually synonymous with primary outcome but need not be.

designed subgroup comparison - A subgroup comparison specified in the study protocol, especially one based on a sample size calculation when the trial was designed.

outcome measure - [trials] An observation variable recorded for a treatment unit at one or more time points after enrollment for the purpose of assessing the effect of a study treatment.
 A measurement or observation used to measure the effect of an experimental variable.
 syn: outcome variable

primary outcome measure - That measure, among two or more in a trial, considered to be of primary importance in its design (e.g., the one used for the sample size calculation) or analysis; may be a continuous measure or an event depending on the trial.

secondary outcome measure - 1. A measure of relevance to a secondary objective of a trial. 2. A measure specified in the study protocol as secondary. 3. A measure specified as secondary in a study publication.

subgroup comparison - A comparison of treatment groups within a specified subgroup of people to assess treatment effect; subgroup typically defined by disease state or history on entry or by entry baseline or demographic characteristics.

surrogate outcome measure - An outcome measure used as a substitute for some other outcome. In trials, usually one that is known to be or presumed to be predictive of a clinical event and that, when used as a basis for designing a trial, leads to an estimated sample size or duration of followup that is less than that required for detecting a meaningful difference using the clinical event as an outcome measure.

A. Identifying information

1.	Study name:
2.	Form completed by:
3.	Date completed (day-month-year)

B. 3			utcome measure
	4.	_	variable
			Identical to primary outcome measure
		()	Different then the primary outcome measure (specify design variable)
	5.	-	outcome measure (check one)
			All cause mortality
		()	Death due to a specific cause
		()	Clinical event
		()	Cause specific death or related nonfatal event
			Change measure
			Composite measure (specify)
		()	Composite measure (speens)
		()	Surrogate measure (specify)
	6.	()	I relevance of the outcome measure High Intermediate Marginal
		()	Maightai
	7.	Scientif	ric rationale for choice of primary outcome measure (check one)
		()	Previous trials suggesting effect
		()	Evidence of effect from observational studies
		()	Deductive
	8.	Is the r	neasure a surrogate measure?
			No
		` /	Yes, indicate what the measure is a surrogate for and the scientific basis to supporting
		()	its use as a surrogate

9.	Is t		outcome measure specified as primary in the study protocol? No (explain)
	()	Yes
		ond)	come measures ary outcome measures mentioned in the study protocol? No Yes; list
11.	Saf	ety	outcome measures? (list)
	Tre	atmotoco	comparisons ent comparisons by demographic or baseline entry characteristics mentioned in the study 1? No Yes, answer items 13 and 14
13.	Con ((((((((((((((((((()	Treatment group by gender Treatment group by age at entry Treatment group by ethnic origin Treatment group by disease state Other (specify)
14.	Are	e any))	y of the comparisons indicated in item 13 designed? No Yes (specify)

Table 2.5 Treatment specification table (Trt.Tab)

When: In the design phase of a trial

Who: Study leaders

Purpose: To specify the treatment design for the trial

Definitions

factorial treatment design - A type of treatment design in which one treatment (factor) is crossed (full or partial) with another treatment (factor). For example, a 2 x 2 factorial design in a trial with a parallel treatment design to evaluate the usefulness of counseling to reduce sodium intake and caloric intake in relation to blood pressure control; in its simplest form with just two levels for each factor (counseling or no counseling) yields four counseling regimens: AB, AB, and AB, where A represents counseling for sodium reduction and A denotes absence of such counseling, and where B represents counseling for caloric restriction and B denotes absence of such counseling.

complete factorial - 1. A factorial design in which each treatment appears in combination with every other treatment; e.g., the treatment combinations AB, AB, AB, and AB in a parallel treatment trial involving test treatments A and B and matching placebos A and B. 2. A design in which a specified subset of treatments appear in combination with every other treatment in that subset; e.g., the treatment combinations represented above as part of a larger set involving treatments C and D not appearing in combination with A or B or their matching placebos.

incomplete factorial - 1. A factorial design in which only some of the combinations of factors, conditions, or treatments possible in a complete factorial appear, are used, or are administered; e.g., the combinations AB, AB, and AB in a trial involving the treatments A and B and matching placebos, A and B, respectively. 2. Any factorial arrangement that is incomplete, even if complete for a defined subset of the factors, conditions, or treatments represented, e.g., an arrangement in which the combinations AB, AB, and AB are represented but in a trial involving a third treatment, C, not used in combination with A, A, B, or B.

treatment design - The portion of the study design that specifies the treatments to be evaluated, the nature of the treatment structure, the treatment assignment design, and the way in which the treatments are to be administered.

treatment modality, treatment mode - The method or agent used to treat, ameliorate, or prevent disease or to improve health; in regard to a test treatment in a trial, the general class of method or agent by which effect is to be achieved, e.g., via surgery, medical treatment, radiation, electrotherapy, drug, biologic, device, diet modification, dietary supplement, counseling, or etc.

treatment structure - The interrelation of treatment groups represented in a trial; parallel treatment structure, crossover treatment structure, factorial treatment structure.

A. Ide	A. Identifying information				
1.	Study name:				
2.	Form completed by:				
	Date completed (day-month-year)				
	eatment design Test treatment groups Number				
	Test trt group 1				
	Test trt group 2				
	Test trt group 3				
	Test trt group 4				
_					
5.	Control/comparison treatment groups Number				
	Ctrl/comparison group 1				
	Ctrl/comparison group 2				
_					
0.	Treatment structure				
	() Crossover () Complete				
	() Incomplete				
	() Parallel				
	() Uncrossed				
	() Crossed				
	() Complete factorial structure				
	() Incomplete factorial structure				
7.	Method of treatment assignment (check one)				
	() Randomization				
	() Other				
	() Systematic; order seen, day of the week, morning-afternoon				
	() Other (specify)				

8.	Unit (()	assig Perso Part	on	erson (e.g., eyes in an eye trial; specify)				
	())	Household Hospital ward Census tract Other (specify)				
9.	Syst (of as Rand (lom	ment? Simple Restricted				
	(((((((((((((((((((()	Dete:	emat rmin rmin	ic/alternation				
10.	Assi (nent i Fixed (d)	? Uniform Nonuniform (specify)				
	()	Adar (Baseline (specify variable(s) used for adaptation)				
			()	Outcome (specify outcome used for adaptation)				
			()	Play-the-winner (specify outcome)				
			()	Other (specify)				

11.	Tre ((((((((((((((((((())))))))))))))))))))	nt modalities represented in items 4 and 5 (check all that apply) Drug Vaccine Biologic Device Surgery Radiation Dietary regimen/diet supplement Exercise Educational/training regimen Placebo/sham Other (specify)
C. Tr	eatn	nenf	administration
			ent masking
	(None
	()	Single masked
	(,	() Patient masked
			() Physician masked
			() Thysician masked
	()	Double masked
13	Tre	atm	ent application schedule
13.	()	Single application (specify point of application relative to point of treatment assignment and dosage)
	(,	Multiple applications
	(,	() Daily to end of followup (specify dosage schedule; if double-masked drug trial specify pills per day)
			() Other (specify schedule and dosage)
			inistrative and operational issues of the test treatments represented in item 4 require an IND or IDE? No Yes (specify holder of the IND or IDE)

\CTForms\Trt.Tab

15. A)	No		test treatments represented in item 4 drugs, biologoecify suppliers)	gics, or devices?
16. I)	No Yes	o is	the supplier of matching placebos The same as the supplier of the test treatments Other (specify)	

Version 1.0

30 March 2012

WS 2.1 Terminology worksheet (Defns.WS)

When: Early in the course of design, prior to the development of key study documents

Who: Key leadership personnel; typically, in the case of a multicenter trial, personnel in the coordinating center

Purpose: To establish terminology conventions for use in development of key study documents

A.	A. Identifying information				
	1.	Study r	name:		
	2.	Form c	ompleted by:		
	3.	Date co	ompleted (day-month-year)		
В.		Person ()	rms (language conventions to be used in study documents and publications) screened for enrollment into the trial Screenee		
			Study candidate Other specify		
	5.	Person () () ()	enrolled in the trial Study patient (typical in treatment trials) Study participant (typical in trials involving study of healthy people or in settings where there is a desire to avoid medical connotations) Study subject Other (specify)		
	6.	()	ive name for body of persons enrolled in trial Study population Other (specify)		
	7.	() () ()	mental variable (i.e., the variable represented in the assignment process for the trial) Treatment/study treatment Intervention/study intervention Regimen/study regimen Arm/study arm Other (specify)		

8.			used for designating any one of study groups created by the assignment process ess of whether test or control treatment
	()	Treatment group (recommended)
	()	Study group
	()	Other (specify)
9.	Nam	ne u	used when referring to any of the study groups except the group receiving the control or
	com	par	ison treatment
	(Test-assigned group
	()	Test treated-assigned group
	()	Test treatment group
	()	Study group (not recommended)
	()	Other (specify)
10.	Nam	ne u	used when referring to the control or comparison treatment in the trial
	()	Control-assigned group
	()	C I
	(Comparison-assigned group
	()	Comparison group
	()	Other (specify)
11.	If co		ol treatment is a placebo, name of person assigned to that treatment
	(Placebo-assigned patient/participant
	(Placebo patient/participant (avoid)
	()	Other (specify)
12.	If th	e c	ontrol treatment is a placebo, name of group assigned to that treatment
	()	Placebo-assigned group
	()	Placebo control-assigned group
	()	Placebo control group
	ì	j.	Placebo group (avoid)
	()	Other (specify)
	`	,	

responsible
r

	Resource center (Recommended: Any center providing expertise and support in a differentiated study structure; in multicenter trials, usually any of the following: data coordinating center, treatment coordinating center, coordinating center, and project office; may also include data center, central laboratory, reading center, and quality control center if heads represented in the leadership structure of the trial are located in that center.)				
19.	()	c name for heads of centers: Center director Other (specify)			
20.	Name o	of the key leadership body (typically steering committee)			
21.	() () ()	ne name of the body responsible for treatment effects monitoring Data and safety monitoring committee (DSMC) Data and safety monitoring board (DSMB) Data monitoring committee (DMC) Policy data monitoring board (PDMB) Treatment effects monitoring committee (TEMC) Other (specify)			
22.	() () ()	of site/center responsible for data processing and analysis Data center Biostatistics center Data coordinating center Coordinating center Other (specify)			
	•	int defining the end of the baseline period of observation and the start of the treatment lowup period of observation (Recommended: The point at which a person is assigned to			

24.	The point at which a person is counted as enrolled in the trial (Recommended: The point at which assignment is revealed to clinic personnel)
25.	The point at which a person is counted as a dropout (Recommended: When the person misses a specified number of followup visits or when a person actively withdraws from followup)
26.	Scheduled followup visit () A followup visit specified in the study protocol to be done within a specified time window () Other (specify)
27.	Unscheduled followup visit (aka interim visit) () A followup visit that is not part of the followup data collection protocol () Other (specify)
28.	Missed clinic visit (Recommended: A clinic visit, as per the schedule specified in the study protocol, that was not made within the permissible time window for the visit)
29.	Lost to followup (Recommended: Typically a dropout for observations that require clinic visits)
30.	Consent (Recommended: Signed dated consent on IRB-approved consent form)
31.	Baseline data (Recommended: Data collected after consent for screening through to the point of treatment assignment as marked by the point at which treatment assignment is revealed to clinic personnel)
32.	Baseline period (Recommended: From first screening visit up to the point of treatment assignment)

33.	Followup (Recommended: A process involving periodic contact with persons enrolled in a trial for the purpose of administering the assigned treatment(s), observing the effects of treatment(s), modifying the course of treatment(s), or for collecting required followup data.)
34.	Randomized (Recommended: The condition of having been assigned to a treatment via a random process; normally considered to have occurred when the treatment assignment is revealed to any member of the clinic staff, e.g., when an envelope containing the treatment assignment is opened at the clinic.)
35.	Time window (Recommended: The time interval for performing a specified activity or procedure. In trials and other followup studies, usually the window for performing a specified examination or type of data collection, such as for a baseline or followup visit.)
36.	Intention to treat (Recommended: A philosophy in which there is an intent to account for all persons enrolled in a trial and to perform analyses by assigned treatment, regardless of observed course of treatment.)
37.	Subgroup (A subpart or subset of a study population distinguished by a characteristic or set of characteristics, especially, in the case of trials, such a subpart or subset as distinguished by one or more baseline characteristics.)
38.	Stopping rule (A rule for determining when to terminate or alter the treatment protocol of a trial based on the observed treatment difference for an outcome of interest; usually some function of a p-value produced by a designated test statistic evaluated at specified points in the course of the trial.)
39.	Stopping guidelines (A guide as to size or type of treatment differences that may cause treatment effects monitors to recommend stopping or altering a trial. Not to be used interchangeably with stopping rule. Use stopping guideline instead of stopping rule if the rule is used simply as a guide as to when a stop or alteration may be indicated.)

40.	tr	ial;	es	e look (Treatment comparisons made at two or more time points over the course of a pecially when done in relation to treatment effects monitoring and where they may lead ation of the treatment protocol.)
41.				ol violation (A protocol departure considered to be serious, e.g., administration of the treatment or enrollment of an ineligible person.)
42	Т	ern	าร	avoided (check all that apply)
12.	(CIII)	Treatment failure (presumptive)
	()	Informed consent (wishful thinking in the absence of information to indicate consent is truly informed)
	()	Endpoint (often interpreted by clinic staff to be synonymous with cessation of followup; not the case except where the "endpoint" is death)
	()	Placebo patient (no such person; use placebo-assigned)
	()	Drop-in (a person who receives a study treatment different than the one assigned in a trial; use inconsistent with analysis by original treatment assignment)
	()	Other (specify)

	breviations and labels Abbreviations to be used in study documents and publications
	Clinic (Recommended: Cl)
	Screening (Recommended: Scr)
	Screening visit (Recommended: ScrV)
	Baseline (Recommended: Bl)
	Baseline visit (Recommended: BIV)
	Followup (Recommended: Fu)
	Followup visit (Recommended: FuV)
	Treatment (Recommended: Trt)
	Treatment visit (Recommended: TrtV)
44.	Labels for treatment groups in treatment effects monitoring reports and publications
	Test trt 1
	Test trt 2
	Test trt 3
	Test trt 4
	Test trt 5
	Test trt 6
	Control trt 1
	Control trt 2

30 March 2012	Version 1.0	\CTForms\Defns.WS
Monitor	ing committee	
Data cer	nter	
Prime le	eadership committee	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
46. Other la		
Cl 1		
Cl		
Cl	8	
Cl	7	
Cl	6	
Cl	5	
Cl	4	
Cl	3	
Cl	2	
Cl	1	
reports Clinic	# Location	Code
reports	center trials, the letter codes for clinics to be displayed in performance mon	

WS 2.2 Name and acronym worksheet (BigName.WS)

When: On initiation of funding for a study or on creation of a multistudy structure

Who: Study chair or director of coordinating center with sign-off by study officers and steering committee

Purpose: To choose a study name or an umbrella name and a related acronym or acrostic

Related forms: WS 17

Definitions

umbrella name - A name chosen to characterize a collection of studies as preformed under a common corporate structure, e.g., as represented in a study network

study name - A name chosen to characterize a particular study

Reminders and recommendations

Name

- Choose in favor of brevity, crispness, and succinctness
- Avoid redundant terms like "controlled" in "randomized controlled trial" and unnecessary descriptors like "clinical" in "clinical trial"
- Avoid catchy or "cute" names
- Avoid restrictive terms likely to render a name obsolete by subsequent expansion to activities not covered by the name
- Choose in favor of neutral, nonpromotional, names
- For umbrella names consider in conjunction with likely names of particular studies; avoid likely redundancies or contradictory terms when used in conjunction with the name of a specific study
- Keep other likely uses in mind, as in funding applications, publications, presentations, and other written references
- Avoid use of contrived, unprintable, characters
- Avoid choosing to create a desired or "cute" acronym
- Avoid names producing undesirable or vulgar acronyms (Reminder: A meaningless sequence of letters in one language can have meaning in another)
- Be cautious of regional geographical descriptors such as U.S. or Europe that require change if the activity eventually expands beyond the limit represented by the descriptor
- Avoid ill-defined regional terms such as "National" and "International"
- Once chosen, avoid variations of name

Acronym

- Useful for shorthand nomenclature in study documents and publications
- Ideally, choose to be of six or fewer characters
- Include base term in study name, e.g., "trial" as in NETT National Emphysema Treatment Trial
- Include "network" or other like terms in umbrella name
- Avoid choosing name simply to produce pronounceable acronym

- Be wary of pronounceable acronym, e.g., MRFIT (Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial); converted by critics to Ms Fit because trial included only males
- Avoid variations
- Keep likely contractions of name and acronym in mind when choosing

A.]	Identifying information
	1.	Form completed by:
	2.	Date completed (day-month-year)
	3.	Form completed for (check one): () Study name () Umbrella name
В.		Specifications Maximum number of words, exclusive of articles and connectors
	5.	Maximum number of characters, including spaces and punctuation
	6.	Base descriptor for activities represented under the name () Study(ies) () Project(s) () Program(s) () Other (specify)
С.		Descriptors and modifiers Generic descriptors (check all that apply) (

8.	Dise ()	descriptor in name? No Yes (specify below)
9.	Popu (()	ion descriptors in name? No Yes () Age (e.g., infants, children, adults, elderly) () Gender (male, men, boys; female, women, girls) () Race/ethnic origin () Other
10.	State ()	No Yes (specify)
11.	Othe ()	lescriptor terms in name? No Yes (specify)
			ate names
	b		
	d		

D.

 $\label{lem:ctforms} $$ \CTForms\BigName.WS $$$

13.	3. Candidate acronyms					
	a					
	b					
	c					
	d					
	Official name and acronym 4. Proposed official name	·				
	No. of characters					
	No. of words, excluding articles and connectors		· · · · · · · ·			
15.	5. Proposed official acronym					
16.	6. Name of approving study body:					
17.	7. Date of approval:					
30 Mar	March 2012 Version 1.0		\CTForms\BigName.WS			

WS 2.3 Study logo worksheet (Logo.WS)

When: Early in the stage of the study

Who: Study chair or director of coordinating center with sign-off by study officers and steering committee

Purpose: To provide a printable brand for identifying documents from the study

Related form: WS 2.2

Reminders and recommendations

- Useful for branding study documents such as handbooks, manuals, data reports, study forms and letterheads
- If in colors, choose colors easily seen and distinguishable under adverse light conditions; choose and arrange colors so that content remains intelligible when reproduced in black and white
- Reject logos that are easily degraded when copied or that become unreadable when copied several times on different machines and under different intensity settings

A. Identifying information

	1. S	Study	n	ame:	
	2. Completed by:				
	3. I	Date (CO	mpleted (day-month-year)	
т.	a	• 6•			
В.				tions and desired characteristics	
	4. C	Conte	nt		
	()	Study acronym or acrostic included?	
	(Study name?	
	(Slogan or motto?	
	`	,	,		
	(,)	Figures, objects, special characters, or symbols?	
	`	,			
	(,)	Other	

5.	5. Characteristics:() Black and white?() Colored? (list colors)				
	((())	Word processor importable?		
6.	Uses ()))	Letterhead Headers or footers of forms Covers of manuals, handbooks, and study reports Consent documents Materials given to study participants during the study Other		
	C and Samp		te logos A		
Ş	Samp	le l	3		
,	Sample C				

C.

ZXZ	23	Study	logo	worksheet
WS	4.3	Stuay	1020	worksneet

D.	Official	logo

E. Sign-off7. Name of accepting body:		
8. Date of acceptance:		
30 March 2012	Version 1.0	\CTForms\Logo.WS

WS 2.4 Data sharing worksheet (DataGive.WS)

When: Complete in design phase of trial; review and update over the course of the trial

Who: Director of data center

Purpose: To enumerate data sharing expectations and responsibilities

Definitions

de-identified data - Data stripped of personal identifiers; data contained in a limited dataset.⁶ De-identification, as spelled out in HIPAA,⁵ involves deleting for persons studied, their relatives, household members, and employers:

Names

Any geocodes that identify an individual household such as street address or Post Office Box Number

Telephone numbers

Fax numbers

Electronic mail addresses

Social Security Numbers

Medical record numbers

Health plan beneficiary identifiers

Account numbers

Certificate/license numbers

Vehicle identifiers and serial numbers, including license plate numbers

Medical device identifiers and serial numbers

Web universal resource locators (URL)

Internet Protocol (IP) address numbers

Biometric identifiers, including finger and voice prints

Full face photographic images

Datasets must also be devoid of:

Geographic subdivisions designations smaller than a state (i.e., county, city, town, precinct) 5 or 9 digit ZIP codes (1st three digits allowable in most cases)

All elements of dates (except year) directly related to an individual, including dates of birth or death, dates of health care services or health care claims (de-identified datasets cannot contain birth dates; file may contain the individual's age expressed in years, months, days, or hours, as appropriate, except for individuals aged 90 or above; such persons to be identified simply as being 90 or above)

Any other unique identifying number, characteristic, or code that could be used to identify the individual (supplier of data may affix codes to allow user to associate data with persons, provided codes cannot be used to re-identify persons)

identified data - Data identifiable to a person by Id number or other personal identifiers.

Α.			g information ompleted by:
			ompleted (day-month-year)
		Types () () ()	of data sharing planned (check all that apply) Data sharing within the investigator group (Section B) Data sharing external to the investigator group Voluntary external data sharing (Section C) Mandated external data sharing (Section D)
В.			data sharing (Data sharing within the investigator group) data sharing planned?
			Yes No (explain; internal sharing is the norm)
	5.		of sharing during study (check all that apply)? None planned (explain)
			On demand via access to study database Via request to data center
		()	Other (specify)
	6.	Mode o	of sharing on completion of study (check all that apply)?
		()	None planned (explain)
		()	Finished dataset supplied to investigators via data center () Supplied identified () Supplied de-identified
		()	Other (specify)

7.	_		agreement by investigators receiving data to not identify persons studied and to not copy ide data to persons outside the investigator group? Yes No (explain)
; (and i	in w es c	ry external data sharing (Data sharing with persons external to the investigator group hich study investigators determine whether to share; typically external voluntary sharing onditions including the right of investigators to review uses prior to presentation or on; data may be provided identified or de-identified depending on agreement.)
8.	Vol		Yes
			Mode () Passive (by request; no announcement of willingness to entertain requests for sharing)
			 () Active (by announcement of willingness to share on study website or in study publications)
	()	No
9.	Pro ((((((((((((((((((())))	Study chair/PI Study officers Steering committee Study officers & steering committee Other (specify)
10.	Sha ((of interim treatment results? No (the norm) Yes (explain)
11.	Ent ()	inment of external requests for analyses? No Yes () Via analyses done by study data center without cost to requestor () Via analyses by study data center with costs covered by requestor () By requestor via data provided by the study data center () Other (specify)

()	inment of external requests for study datasets? No Yes () Supplied de-identified without use restriction () Supplied de-identified with use restriction () Supplied identified with use restriction () Other (specify)
	preparation Supplied without cost to requestor
()	Cost of preparation covered by requestor Other (specify)
the spon identified	ed external data sharing (Data sharing external to the investigator group mandated by soring agency and in which requests for data are answered by providing requestors ded data typically without investigator approval or constraints on use.)
()	scope of funding award includes provisions for mandated external data sharing? Yes No
outside ()	t form includes mention of intent to provide access to de-identified data by people the investigator group? Yes No
possible (planned sample size per treatment group sufficiently large to make de-identification e with minimal risk of probabilistic identification? Yes No
16. Recond If Item	iliations 13 is answered Yes and Item 14 is answered No, explain the inconsistency

Note

If Item 15 is answered No and Item 13 is answered Yes, revise work scope to exclude mandatory external data sharing or inform funding agency of unwillingness to engage in unrestricted release of study datasets

17.	Moc ((((()	Submit datasets to sponsor to deal with requests for datasets Submit datasets to 3rd party custodian to deal with requests for datasets Study data center to serve as custodian Other (specify)
18.	Mod (((()	of announcing availability of datasets for external sharing (check all that apply) Study website Study publications Other (specify)
19.	Type that (app))	of datasets to be made available to persons external to the investigator group (check all oly) Datasets corresponding to datasets used in publications Finished dataset containing all study data, whether or not used in previous publications Other (specify)
20.	Tim ((((()	Then de-identified data to be made (check all that apply) In relation to individual study publications (e.g., by announcing availability of dataset supporting a publication in the publication) Finished dataset compiled after the end of data collection and after editing and "cleanup" even if the investigator group is still working on publications Finished dataset after cessation of paper writing activities by the investigator group Finished dataset as supplied by data center in final year of support even if investigator group still working on publications Other (specify)

CL 2.1 Treatment design synopsis checklist (TrtDesig.CL) (per Coronary Drug Project)⁴

When: After the trial is designed				
Who: Senior people in the coordinating center				
Purpose : To provide a synopsis of study desig study publications	n for use in study documents and in producing			
1. Trial type	5. Primary outcome measure			
(x) Superiority	() Event			
() Equivalence	(x) Death, any cause; 5 yr			
() Inferiority	mortality			
() Demonstration	() Death, specific cause			
() Other	() Morbid clinical event			
	() Other			
2. Trial phase	() Change measure			
() 1	() Other			
() 2				
() 3	6. Treatment groups			
(x)4	Test treatment groups			
() Other	() 1			
	() 2			
3. Purpose	() 3			
(x) Prevention	(x) 4 or more			
() Primary	Control treatment groups			
(x) Secondary	(x) 1			
() Treatment	() 2			
() Other	() 3 or more			
4 m 44 4 4 (2)	Total no. of treatment groups			
4. Test treatment(s)	() 2			
Estrogen (ESG) mixed conjugated	() 3			
equine estrogen; two estrogen	() 4			
treatment groups: one receiving a	(x) 5 or more			
dosage of 2.5mg/day and another	7 C 4 14 4 4 ()			
receiving 5.0mg/day; Premarin®	7. Control treatment(s)			
Colfibrate (CPIB) ethyl alpha	() Observation only			
parachlorophenoxy-isobutyrate;	(x) Matching placebo			
Atromid-S®	() Sham procedure			
Dextrothyroxine (DT4); Choloxin®	() Standard medical care			
Nicotinic acid (NICA)	() Other			

8.	Treatment structure	13. Treatment modalities
	(x) Parallel	(x) Drugs
	() Full factorial structure	() Pills
	() Partial factorial structure	() Injections
	(x) Independent (uncrossed)	() Implants
	() Crossover	() Other
	() Other	() Medical device
		() Surgery
9.	Treatment assignment design	() Radiation
	(x) Randomized	() Dietary
	() Systematic	() Behavior modification
	() Physician judgment	() Other
	() Patient choice	
	() Other	14. Bias control procedures
		(x) Concealment of assignments
10.	Assignment unit	until issue
	() Geographical area	(x) Masked treatment administration
	() Household	(x) Shielding of investigators from
	(x) Person	interim results
	() Person part	(x) Independent treatment effects
	() Other	monitoring
		() Other
11.	Assignment ratio	
	() Uniform (same across treatment	15. Sample size requirement
	groups)	() Not stated
	(x) Non-uniform (specify); 1 to 2.5,	(x) Specified
	per treatment group relative to	
	control treatment, i.e.,	16. Sample size rationale
	1:1:1:1:2.5	(x) Calculated
		() Pragmatic
12.	Treatment administration	() Unspecified
	() Unmasked	
	() Fully unmasked	17. Variance control procedures
	() Partially unmasked	(x) Randomization
	(x) Masked	(x) Stratification; clinic and two risk
	() Single-masked	groups
	(x) Fully double-masked	(x) Blocking of assignments
	() Partial double-masked	() Other

18.	Primary treatment comparison in					
	trials with more than one test-treated					
	group					
	(x) Simple, i.e., comparison of					

(X)	Sim	ipie,	, i.e., comparison of
			ind	ivid	ual test-treated groups vs
			con	trol	-treated group
() Complex: Sim					ex: Simple comparison
			plu	s:	
			()	Test-treated group
					compared with other
					test-treated groups
			()	Combinations of test-
					treated groups compared
					with control-treated
					group
			()	Other

30 March 2012 Version 1.0 \CTForms\TrtDesig.CL