8 IRB tables, worksheets, and checklists

Table 8.1 IRB approvals and reports to IRBs (IRBModel.Tab)

When: Before the start of data collection

Who: Coordinating center personnel in conjunction with study officers

Purpose: To set-forth IRB approval and reporting procedures

Definitions

associate center - A center, established or adopted by a parent center, that is responsible for performing specified functions in association with or as an agent of the parent; may or may not receive financial support from the parent.

central institutional review board - An IRB having review authority over a multicenter study, especially one where approval by such a board is sufficient to allow investigators at study centers to proceed without additional review or approval.

commercial institutional review board - A board performing functions similar to an institutional review board on a fee-for-service for investigators directed to submit to it by the IRB offices of their respective institutions or for investigators not affiliated with institutions having IRBs; increasingly used to review proposals coming from investigators heading centers in multicenter studies at sites not having IRBs.

institutional review board (IRB) - A board, as set forth in guidelines and regulations emanating from the United States Public Health Service, concerned with research involving human beings; appointed by authorities of the institution housing the board and constituted to review and approve studies involving human beings by investigators from the appointing institution.

local institutional review board - The institutional review board of one's own institution.

parent center - 1. A study center that gives rise to or nurtures other centers. 2. A study center that has administrative or operational primacy over other centers. 3. A study center having an affiliate, associate, field, or satellite center.

protocol amendment - A proposed protocol change submitted to an IRB; such a proposed change approved by an IRB. Technically, any change to an approved protocol is an amendment, but usually best reserved for changes submitted to IRBs for review and approval – generally, any change that can be reasonably argued as having the potential of changing the risk-benefit ratio for persons studied, or having potential to influence a person's decision as to whether to enroll or to remain in a study. In trials, including changes to the treatment protocol, study procedures, schedule of study visits, or period of followup; especially any such changes considered to require changes to existing consent forms or to require reconsent.

satellite center - A center, subordinate to a parent center, organized to perform a designated set of functions at the behest of or as an agent of that parent.

1.	Study	y nai	me:
2.	Form	con	npleted by:
3.	Date	com	apleted (day-month-year)
IRI	B maj	p	
4.	Cente	ers r	epresented in the trial (check all that apply) Study clinics
	()	Associate clinics
	()	Satellite clinics
	()	Coordinating centers/data centers
	()	Associate/satellite coordinating centers/data centers No
	()	Treatment coordinating centers
	()	Reading centers
	()	Central laboratories
	()	Central specimen repositories
	()	Other (specify)
		Su	m of values above
5.	Num	ber o	of centers required to submit to IRBs
			r less than the sum in item 4, list the centers not requiring IRB approvals and reasons required

6.	Type (s of)	IRBs represented by the number represented in item 5 (check all that apply) Central IRBs
	()	Local IRBs
	()	Commercial IRBs
		To	otal number of IRBs
	_		t of IRB submissions
7.			esponsible for preparing the protocol used by clinics in their submissions to IRBs?
	(chec	к оі)	
	()	Office of the study chair
	()	Study sponsor
	()	Other (specify)
8.			esponsible for providing clinics with the official study protocol for submission to heck one)
	()	Coordinating center
	()	Office of the study chair
	()	Study sponsor
	()	Other (specify)
9.			esponsible for instructing clinics as to when to submit to IRBs for new protocol
	,		and revised consent forms? (check one)
	()	Coordinating center
	()	Office of the study chair
	()	Study sponsor Other (creatify)
	()	Other (specify)
10	Cons	ente	submitted by clinics for IRB approval (check all that apply):
10.	()	Produced from prototype consent provided by coordinating center or office of study
	`	,	chair
	()	Clinics instructed as to when to submit to respective IRBs by the coordinating center or office of study chair
	()	Approved forms reviewed by coordinating center or office of the study chair to ensure they are factually correct and that they contain the basic information contained in the prototype

	()	Other (specify)
11.	Mini	mal l	IRB approvals required to start enrollment and treatment in the trial (check one)
	()	IRB approval of the study protocol and consent procedures at one clinic
	()	IRB approval of the study protocol and consent procedures at one clinic and IRB approval of the coordinating center
	()	IRB approval of the study protocol and consent procedures at all clinics
	()	IRB approval of the study protocol and consent procedures at all clinics and IRB approval of the coordinating center
	()	Other (specify)
D D	, ,		
D. Pr			
12.	,		des when a change requires IRB approval prior to implementation?
	()	Coordinating center
	()	Office of the study chair
	()	Study sponsor
	()	Study officers
	()	Steering committee
	()	Other (specify)
13.			esponsible for providing clinics with documents needed for submission of the proposed IRBs? (check one)
	()	Coordinating center
	()	Office of the study chair
	()	Study sponsor
	()	Study officers
	()	Steering committee
	()	Other (specify)
14.	Who	is re	esponsible for deciding how and when the change is implemented? (check one)
	()	Coordinating center
	()	Office of the study chair
	()	Study sponsor
	()	Study officers
	()	Steering committee
	()	Other (specify)

15.	Chang		mplemented without IRB review (check all that apply)
	(Minor word changes to data collection forms
	(Changes in general care procedures
	(Changes reducing the risk or nuisances of being studied
	()	Termination of harmful study treatment
	()	Other (specify)
16.	Chang	es re	equiring IRB review prior to implementation (check all that apply)
	()	Addition of procedures considered to involve more than minimal risk or added
			inconvenience to study subjects
	(Addition of sensitive questions to data collection forms
	ì		Changes to consent procedures
	(Increase in contact schedule for data collection
	(,	Addition of specimen collection for future use
	(Other (specify)
	()	Other (specify)
			notices to IRBs and notices originating at study clinics (check all that apply)
1/.	Keport		Adverse events
	(,	
	(Overdoses; treatment mistakes
	(Breach of confidentiality
	()	Deaths
	()	Other (specify)
18	Are re	nort	s and notices arising at the clinic level of operations as listed in item 17 sent to other
10.			ers for submission to their respective IRBs?
	()	Yes
	() \	No (explain)
	(,	No (explain)
10	TC 34 -	. 10	annual and indicate and the fortunantial and a discrete IDD.
19.	11 Item	118	answered yes, indicate conduit for transmission to other IRBs
	()	Coordinating center
	()	Office of the study chair
	()	Study sponsor
	()	Other (specify)

20. For t	rials	with treatment effects monitoring committees, who is r	esponsible for notifying IRBs
of m	eetin	gs of the committee? (check one)	
()	Coordinating center	
()	Office of the study chair	
()	Study sponsor	
()	Other (specify)	
25 April 2012		Version 1.0	\CTForms\IRBModel.Tab

Table 8.2 IRB log (IRBHis.Tab)

A.

B.

,	When: At the outset and continuously over time				
,	Who: Per	sons at the coordinating center			
]	Purpose: the trial	To provide a log of protocol versions and changes to the protocol over the course of l			
Id	entifying	information			
1.	Study na	me:			
2.	()	Coordinating center Office of the study chair Study sponsor Other (specify)			
	()	rsions versions of the protocol identified (check one) By version number By date By version number and date Other (specify)			
4.	() () ()	cides when versions are issued (check one) Coordinating center Office of the study chair Study sponsor Other (specify)			
5.	Who is r () () () ()	responsible for preparing and distributing protocol versions Coordinating center Office of the study chair Study sponsor Other (specify)			

6.	,	_		_	bmissic	on of versions	to IRBs?		
			dinating ce ce of the st						
) Stud	y sponsor	udy Chan					
	(r (specify)						
	` '								
Note:	The IRI	B serving	g the place	named in ite	m 6 is,	herein, referre	ed to as the	parent IRB	
7.	Check	the item	below that	best describ	es the I	RB submissio	n process		
	(red: Submi parent	itted to the p	arent IR	B and not ser	nt to other	centers until approv	ed by
	(Distributed to parent IRB	clinics	for submission	on to their r	respective IRBs who	en
8.	Implem					protocol (che			
	,		•			approved by the	•	RB	
						by the parent l		DDs of moond	
				_		nature of the o	-	RBs of record	
) Wou	CI 1, 2, 01 .	3 depending	on the	nature of the c	mange		
9.	Protoco	ol version	ns						
	Version	n no						. Date:	
	Version	n no						. Date:	
	Version	n no						. Date:	
	Version	n no						. Date:	
	_					RB perspectiv	ve		
10.				val log of pr	otocol			A 1	
		rsion		Version date		Submissi date to par		Approval date of parent	
		10.		uaic		uate to par		uate of parent	_
					<u></u>				
			_						_

11.		submission and approval log of protocol amend ded in different versions of the protocol	lments separate and apart from those
	1: [Describe	
	Γ	Date submitted:	Date approved by parent:
	2: [Describe	
	Γ	Date submitted:	Date approved by parent:
	3: I	Describe	
	Γ	Date submitted:	Date approved by parent:
	4: I	Describe	
	Ι	Date submitted:	Date approved by parent:
	5: I	Describe	
	Ι	Date submitted:	Date approved by parent:
	_	dverse events reported to parent IRB erse events reported from participating clinics	
1	Even	t:	
	Date	received at CC:	Date of event:
2	Even	t:	Clinia
			Clinic
	Date	received at CC:	
3		received at CC: t:	Date of event:
3	Even		Date of event:
	Even Date	t:	Date of event: Clinic
	Even Date Even	t: received:	Date of event: Clinic Date of event: Clinic
4	Even Date Even Date	t: received:	Date of event: Clinic Date of event: Clinic Clinic Clinic

13.	13. Reportable events originating in the center named in item 6						
1	Event:	:					
	Date of	occurred:	-		Date submitted:		
2	Event:						
	Date of	occurred:	-		Date submitted:		
	_		the treatment ef	fects monitorin	g committee		
	<u>Mtg</u>	Mtg date	Mtg mode	Date sent to parent	IRB response		
	1						
	2						
	3						
	4						
25 Apri	il 2012			Version 1.0	CTForms IIDDHis Tal		

Table 8.3 IRB approval monitoring (IRBMon.Tab)

When: Pe	riodically over the co	ourse of the trial		
Who: Pers	sons in the data cente	er		
Purpose: 'approva	1 1	roval status of participa	ating centers to prevent	lapses of
Identifying i	information			
1. Study na	me:			
()	ng done by: (check of Coordinating center Office of the study Study sponsor Other (specify)	r		
3. Informati	ion below as of (day-	-month-year):		
Clinical cent	ters	-month-year):	Expiration	 Status*
Clinical cent Clinic Id	ters Cl location	Last renewal	Expiration	Status*
Clinical cent Clinic Id	Cl location	Last renewal	Expiration date	Status*
Clinical central Clinic	Cl location	Last renewal	Expiration date	Status*
Clinical central Clinic	Cl location	Last renewal	Expiration date	Status*
Clinical cent Clinic Id 1 2 3 4	Cl location	Last renewal	Expiration date	Status*
Clinical central Clinic — Id 1 2 3 4 5	Cl location	Last renewal	Expiration date	Status*
Clinical central ClinicId	Cl location	Last renewal	Expiration date	Status*

9				
10.				
* O	K: Expiration at lea	st 6 wks away; L: lapsed	; NL: 4 wks from laps	se
Resource cer	nters			
Center		Last	Expiration	
<u>Id</u>	Location	renewal	date	Status*
1				
2				
3				
4				
OK : Expiration	on at 6 wks away; I	L: lapsed; NL: 4 wks from	n lapse	
April 2012		Version 1.0		\CTForms\IRBMo

Table 8.4 Consent, reconsent, and deconsent design (ConPlan.Tab)

When: The trial is being designed and before submission of the protocol and consent forms to IRBs

Who: Persons in the coordinating center or office of the study chair

Purpose: To set forth design and operating procedures on consenting and deconsenting

Definitions

assent - Expression of acquiescence to something proposed. Usage note: Not to be confused with consent. Generally in research settings, assent by the person to be studied is required whenever consent is given by someone else on behalf of that person, and when that person has sufficient mental capacity to understand the nature and extent of what is being proposed. The starting age at which assent is required may vary, but is usually 5, or thereabouts, for most institutional review boards. For persons unable to read, the assent may be oral after the person has been presented with an explanation of what is involved. For persons able to read (e.g., children aged 7 or 8 to the age of majority), the process may require the use of a written assent form and a signed assent before proceeding. The process, while used primarily in relation to children, extends as well to adults with limited but sufficient mental capacities to allow them to assent.

consent - Voluntary agreement or acquiescence by a person, or by that person's guardian or representative on their behalf, to undertake, submit to, or comply with an act or procedure that is to be done by another person, party, or agency.

consent renewal - [trials] A formal or informal process in which persons enrolled in a trial are reminded of what the trial involves to provide persons with opportunity to ask questions and to formally or informally affirm willingness to continue in the trial

deconsent - 1. An active communication process taking place on completion or cessation of a person's role in a research project that is intended to impart information deemed necessary and appropriate for an informed separation. In the case of treatment trials, the information imparted relates to treatment received (including identity of assigned treatment in the case of a trial involving masked treatment), findings from the trial and relevance for the person departing, and observations and recommendations regarding the person's subsequent care and treatment. 2. A process taking place on separation of a person from a study aimed at assessing the adequacy of consent by the amount of information recalled during the consent process. 3. A process taking place on completion of a single- or double-masked trial, usually in relation to a close-out followup visit, in which the departing person is asked to state a guess as to treatment assigned or received.

reconsent - 1. Documented consent to continue in a study following disclosure and discussion of information considered to change the risk-benefit ratio for participation; especially in relation to a treatment protocol change or other protocol amendments. 2. updated consent

A. Id	de	entifying	information
1		Study n	ame:
2		Form co	ompleted by:
3		Date co	mpleted (day-month-year)
		dy popu	ulation to be enrolled (check all that apply)
7	•		Adults
		()	Children
		()	Children and adults
			Infants
		(Pregnant women
		(
		(•
		`	
5			
C. C	o	nsent/as	sent forms
6	•		checklist below to indicate consents/assents required in the trial (check all that apply)
		Study s	ubject consent
		()	
		()	Enrollment
		()	Specimen collection
		()	Specimen banking
		()	DNA analysis
		()	DNA banking
		()	Other (specify)
		Other o	consents
		()	Surrogate respondent
		()	Guardian of patient
		()	Patient's care giver
		()	Other (specify)

	Assen	t of	minor study subject
	()	Screening
	()	Enrollment
	()	Specimen collection
	()	Specimen banking
	()	DNA analysis
	()	DNA banking
	()	Other (specify)
7.	Numb	er o	f separate consent/assent forms represented by checks in item 6 No
8.	Disclo	sure	es included in enrollment consent (check all that apply)
	()	Where study data are received, processed, and stored
	()	Who, outside the investigator group, are eligible to review data collected on study subjects
	()	Intent to deposit de-identified datasets under NIH data sharing requirements and risk of identification
	()	Use of banked specimens and whether study subjects will be informed of uses and results of relevance to them
	()	Whether investigators stand to profit from use of banked specimens
	()	Funding sources of the trial
	Ì)	Investigator conflicts of interest
	()	Investigators standing to gain financially from results of the trial
	()	Right to withdraw at any time without prejudice
	()	Data collected may not be withdrawn even if person withdraws
	()	Other (specify)
9.	Check	c-off	s included in enrollment consent to indicate acceptance or rejection of (check all that
	apply)):	
	()	DNA analysis
	()	Banking of specimens for future use
	()	Other (specify)
D. Co	nsent/	assei	nt process
			ing (check one)
	()	Clinic
	()	Home
	()	Telephone

	()	Other (specify)
11.	Person	usually obtaining consent/assent (check one) Study physician
		Study physician Study nurse
	()	Other (specify)
12.	Docum	entation of consent/assent (check all that apply)
		Signed and dated
		Witnessed signing
	()	Other (specify)
13.	Consen	t assurance safeguards (check all that apply)
	()	enrollment
	()	members before being asked to consent
	()	Would be study subject given opportunity to question person soliciting consent before consenting
		Would be study subject required to answer basic questions correctly about the trial as a condition for enrollment
	()	Other (specify)
		consent renewal
14.		stances under which reconsent deemed necessary (check all that apply)
	()	Results from another trial indicating that a study treatment is harmful or beneficial Decision to stop a study treatment because of harm or benefit but where treatment with a lesser dose of the same treatment continues
	(Change in the formulation of a study treatment
	()	Dosage change of a study treatment
		Change in the treatment schedule
	()	Other (specify)
15.		stances under which consent renewal deemed necessary or appropriate (check all that
	apply)	

Table 8.4 Consent, reconsent, and deconsent design

	()	Evidence of confusion in the study population as to purpose of trial
	()	Flagging interest in the study population
	Ì)	Increasing rate of dropout or of noncompliance
	(Ś	Other (specify)
	(,	Other (Speerly)
F. De	conse	nt pl	lan
		-	on to be imparted to participant on close of followup (check all that apply)
10.	()	Summary of findings from the trial
	()	Treatment person assigned to if masked to treatment assignment
	()	
	()	Availability of study treatment if found to be effective
	()	Treatment and care recommendations based on findings from the trial
	()	Possibility of future contact by study investigators for followup
	()	Other (specify)
	`		
17	Matk		of close out
1/.	Meu	10a o	
	()	Common closing date regardless of when enrolled
	()	Close out on a per person basis after a specified period of followup (anniversary
			form of close out)
	()	Other (specify)
	,	,	outer (openity)

Note: Method of close out relevant to type of information that can be imparted to persons on close out. Unmasking on a per person basis in the anniversary form of close out may not be possible if unmasking serves to unmask others not yet closing out (e.g., as with the bin Id system of drug supply). Likewise, there will be no results to summarize for the first persons departing under the anniversary form of close out.

25 April 2012 Version 1.0 \CTForms\ConPlan.Tab

WS 8.1 Adverse event reporting worksheet (AE.WS)

When: Prior to the start of data collection

Who: Study chair or director of study coordinating center

Purpose: To outline procedures for reporting adverse events to IRBs

Definitions

adverse event - 1. Any unfavorable sign, symptom, state, condition, or laboratory finding in a study subject. 2. reportable event

reportable event - 1. adverse drug experience, serious adverse drug experience, unexpected adverse drug experience 2. adverse event 3. Any event or experience relating to a study subject and relevant to an oversight body, such as an IRB, in determining whether an approval should be maintained; any such event or occurrence listed as needing to be reported to an oversight body, such as an IRB as a condition for approval or maintaining approval. 4. Any event, circumstance, or occurrence threatening the integrity of a study. 5. Any event or occurrence listed as reportable by an extant governing, funding, oversight, or regulatory authority, such as the NIH, FDA, and ORI. Usage note: Problematic when used in the absence of defining detail regarding what, when, how, and where to report. The domain of reportable events is subject to change depending on perspective. Events considered not reportable during conduct of a study may be seen as reportable when a study is audited or reviewed. It is up to study investigators to develop and maintain essential reporting procedures in regard to the domain of reportable events. The duty to report extends to the broad class of events, including events of fraud, though the guidelines for deciding when the suspicion of fraud is sufficient to trigger a report to one's institutional committee dealing with such matters, or to the ORI, are largely lacking. All research involving human beings is under the purview of IRBs or like named bodies. Approvals from those bodies carry reporting obligations. In all cases, investigators are obliged to report mistakes or misadventures occurring in relation to the processes of enrolling, studying, treating, or following study subjects, and to do so regardless of whether such occurrences were of consequence to persons studied. Generally, approvals are predicated on the presumption that investigators will report deaths and morbidities occurring in the study population, that they will do so in a timely fashion, and that they will do so regardless of whether they are considered to be study-related. The presumption, in the case of multicenter studies, should be that study population is as represented by the population enrolled from all participating clinics and, therefore, that all investigators and associated IRBs are to receive reported events regardless of where first reported. IRBs may limit reporting to study-related deaths and morbid events in long-term treatment trials where the population being treated has high underlying mortality and morbidity rates. The reporting procedures imposed by the FDA relate to adverse events arising in relation to drugs, biologics, and devices being tested in relation to possible licensure. There are no corresponding procedures for trials of surgical procedures, trials of established medical treatments, or trials of other treatments not under the purview of the FDA. Hence, in those cases, investigators are largely left to establish definitions and procedures for reporting and informing investigators and associated IRBs. The likely minimum reporting requirements (in addition to those concerning mistakes or misadventures as mentioned above) are morbid events or deaths induced or likely

caused by a study procedure (including those where it is reasonable to so assume because of temporal relationship), any event occurring in conjunction with a study procedure, administration of a study treatment, or in relation to a change in treatment, deaths or major morbidities occurring in association with initiation or change of treatment, and events or occurrences leading to contact of an IRB by a study subject or representative of the study subject, and judged by that IRB to have legitimacy.

safety report - A report to the Food and Drug Administration of an adverse drug experience that is both serious and unexpected; written or telephoned; investigational new drug safety report; also IND safety report.

serious adverse drug experience - In FDA parlance, as contained in the Code of Federal Regulations for drugs for that agency:

(http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm?fr=314.80; CFR, title 21, vol 5, revised 1 April 2011) Any adverse drug experience occurring at any dose that results in any of the following outcomes: Death, a life-threatening adverse drug experience, inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization, a persistent or significant disability/incapacity, or a congenital anomaly/birth defect.

unexpected adverse drug experience - In FDA parlance:

(http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm?fr=314.80; CFR, title 21, vol 5, revised 1 April 2011) Any adverse drug experience that is not listed in the current labeling for the drug product. This includes events that may be symptomatically and pathophysiologically related to an event listed in the labeling, but differ from the event because of greater severity or specificity. For example, under this definition, hepatic necrosis would be unexpected (by virtue of greater severity) if the labeling only referred to elevated hepatic enzymes or hepatitis. Similarly, cerebral thromboembolism and cerebral vasculitis would be unexpected (by virtue of greater specificity) if the labeling only listed cerebral vascular accidents. "Unexpected," as used in this definition, refers to an adverse drug experience that has not been previously observed (i.e., included in the labeling) rather than from the perspective of such experience not being anticipated from the pharmacological properties of the pharmaceutical product.

A. Identifying information

1	. Study name:
2	. Form completed by:
3	. Date completed (day-month-year)
В. В	ackground information
4	. Does the trial involve drugs, biologics, or devices?
	() No
	() Yes

		If yes, is the trial subject to reporting requirements for investigational drugs, biol devices?	logics, or
		() No	
		Yes Yes	
	5.	. Are treatments double-masked?	
		() No	
		() Yes	
		If yes, are events reported without knowledge of treatment assignment?	
		() No	
		() Yes	
	6.	. Does the study handbook or manual of operations contain definitions and instructions o reporting adverse events to local IRBs and to the coordinating center in multicenter tria	
		() No (revise to include)	
		() Yes	
	7.	 Does the study handbook or manual of operations contain instructions as to whether the assigned treatment is to be continued in the face of adverse events? () No (revise to include) 	;
		() Yes	
		() 103	
C.		eporting procedure Number of IDDs with outbority over the triel?	
	8.	Number of IRBs with authority over the trial?	
		() One() More than one	
		() More than one If more than one are all IRBs of record to be informed of events?	
		() No (explain why not)	
		() Yes	
		Note: The usual reporting procedure in multicenter trials for events occurring at clinic is as follows:	a study
		- Clinic reports event to its IRB	
		- Clinic sends report to the coordinating center	
		- CC sends report to all other centers with instructions to send to their response	ective
		IRBs if required by their IRB	

9.	In unmasked trials, are events reported to the coordinating center in multicenter trials distributed to clinics without treatment revealed?					
		No (explain; the usual approach is to distribute without treatment revealed even if treatments are not masked)				
	()	Yes				
10.	approac where k	nents are administered double-masked, what events require unmasking? Note: The usual h is simply to stop treatment absent unmasking. The only exceptions are emergencies mowing treatment is of immediate importance to the person or to a member of the s family for treatment				
D. Ag	ggregate	review of adverse events				
11.	Is there	a review and analysis of aggregate events by treatment group? No (explain; the expectation is that such reviews take place over the course of the trial)				
	()	Yes				
12.	Does th	e trial have a treatment effects monitoring committee, aka data and safety monitoring tee?				
		No (explain why not)				
	()	Yes				
13.	If item	11 answered yes, who does the analysis?				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	()	Coordinating center Sponsor				

\CTForms\AE.WS

14.	If iten	1 l l	answered yes, who reviews the analysis?
	()	Treatment effects monitoring committee/Data and safety monitoring committee
	()	Study officers
	()	Study steering committee
	()	Other (specify)
15.			answered yes, are IRBs informed of the review and recommendations of the g committee?
	()	No (explain why not)
	()	Yes
16.	If iten	ı 15	answered yes, who is responsible for sending the reports to centers for distribution to
	their r	espe	ctive IRBs?
	()	Coordinating center
	()	Study chair
	()	Sponsor
	()	Other (specify)

Version 1.0

25 April 2012

CL 8.1 Consent content checklist (Consent.CL)

When: The prototype consents are drafted prior to submission to IRBs and as checks of approved consent forms

Who: Persons in the coordinating center or office of the study chair

Purpose: For use in drafting prototype consents and for checking approved consent forms to make certain they contain necessary basic information

A. Identifying information			
	1.	Study nar	me:
	2.	Form con	npleted by:
	3.	Date com	pleted (day-month-year)
B.	Co	nsent con	tent checklist
	4.	General d	lescriptive and design information
		()	Description of the disease or condition being studied and how the person qualifies for the study
		()	Type of persons being studied and the number to be enrolled
		()	Anticipated length of treatment and followup
		()	Description of data collection schedule procedures
		()	Registration number on <u>clinicaltrials.gov</u> or other like web sites
	5.	Treatmen	t information
		()	List of treatments to be studied and rationale for choice
		()	Treatment alternatives available outside the study
		()	Nature of the control or comparison treatment
		()	Method of assigning persons to treatment
		()	Method of treatment administration
		()	Level of treatment masking and rationale
		()	Nature of information regarding treatment results that will be made available to persons during and at the conclusion of the trial
	6	Diek bone	efit information
	υ.	()	Description of the risks and benefits that may accrue to persons from participation in
		()	the trial
		()	Enumeration of the potential risks and benefits associated with the study treatments and of likely side effects of treatment
		()	Description of procedures that will be performed, including enumeration of the risks and benefits associated with those procedures, and the time points at which they are

to be performed

/.	Respo	nsıb	offices of persons studied and their safeguards
	()	Outline of responsibilities of persons enrolled in the trial, including discussion of the
			importance of adherence to treatment and followup
	()	Outline of what is expected of persons in following the examination schedule and in
			carrying out special procedures between visits
	()	Outline of safeguards to prevent continued exposure of persons to harmful study
			treatments or denial of beneficial treatments
	()	Outline of safeguards for protecting a person's right to privacy and confidentiality
	()	Enumeration of right of persons to withdraw from the trial without penalty or loss of
			benefits to which otherwise entitled
	()	Statement of the policy of the investigator's institution on compensation for, or
			treatment of, study-related injuries
	()	Statement of the person's right to have questions concerning the trial answered and
			enumeration of items of information that will not be disclosed (e.g., treatment
			assignment in double-masked trials)
	()	Statement of the length of time personal identifiers will be retained after the close of
			the trial, where such information will be retained, and the reasons for keeping such
			information (e.g., for use in contacting or recalling persons after the close of the trial
			if necessary); statement should also indicate ways in which the information may be
			used (e.g., to access the National Death Index or other information sources for
			determining mortality status after the close of the trial, if applicable)
0	Othor	info	ormation
ο.	Culci	11110	Name and address of local study investigator
	()	Name and address of IRB contact person
	()	•
	()	Registration number and web address of registration site
	()	Enumeration of costs, if any, to study participants for tests or procedures performed
	()	Approximate number of persons to be enrolled in trial